

Lecture 19

①

quantum entropies & information

begin w/ von Neumann entropy &
related quantities \uparrow
(AKA quantum entropy)

$$H(\rho_A) = H(A)_\rho = -\text{Tr}[\rho_A \log_2 \rho_A]$$

$$\text{for } \rho_A = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$$

$$H(\rho_A) = -\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \log_2 \lambda_i$$

use convention that $0 \log_2 0 = 0$

$$\text{b/c } \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x \log x = 0$$

related quantities include

$$\text{conditional entropy } H(A|B)_\rho = H(AB)_\rho - H(B)_\rho$$

for ρ_{AB}

(2)

coherent information:

$$I(A \rangle B) = H(B)_\rho - H(AB)_\rho = -H(A|B)_\rho$$

mutual information:

$$I(A; B)_\rho = H(A)_\rho + H(B)_\rho - H(AB)_\rho$$

conditional mutual information of a tripartite state ρ_{ABC} :

$$I(A; B|C)_\rho = H(A|C)_\rho + H(B|C)_\rho - H(AB|C)_\rho$$

All of these can be derived from q. relative entropy:

$$D(\rho||\sigma) = \begin{cases} \text{Tr}[\rho(\log_2 \rho - \log_2 \sigma)] & \text{if } \text{supp}(\rho) \subseteq \text{supp}(\sigma) \\ +\infty & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

for ρ a density operator &
 σ PSD

(3)

$$D(\rho||\sigma) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Tr}[\rho(\log_2 \rho - \log_2(\sigma + \epsilon I))]$$

Proof: Decompose Hilbert space as $\mathcal{H} = \text{supp}(\sigma) \oplus \text{ker}(\sigma)$

Π_σ projects onto $\text{supp}(\sigma)$ &

Π_σ^\perp onto $\text{ker}(\sigma)$.

blocks according to Π_σ & Π_σ^\perp

Then
$$\rho = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{0,0} & \rho_{0,1} \\ \rho_{0,1}^\dagger & \rho_{1,1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose that $\text{supp}(\rho) \subseteq \text{supp}(\sigma)$.

Then
$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \begin{array}{l} \rho_{0,0} = \rho, \quad \rho_{0,1} = 0, \\ \rho_{1,1} = 0 \end{array} \right\} \text{Tr}[\rho \log_2(\sigma + \epsilon I)] \\ & = \text{Tr} \left[\begin{bmatrix} \rho_{0,0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \log_2 \begin{bmatrix} \sigma + \epsilon \Pi_\sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon \Pi_\sigma^\perp \end{bmatrix} \right] \\ & = \text{Tr} \left[\begin{array}{c} \log(\sigma + \epsilon \Pi_\sigma) \\ \log \epsilon \Pi_\sigma^\perp \end{array} \right] \\ & = \text{Tr}[\rho_{0,0} \log(\sigma + \epsilon \Pi_\sigma)] \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+}$ gives
$$\text{Tr}[\rho_{0,0} \log \sigma] = \text{Tr}[\rho \log \sigma]$$

(4)

If $\text{supp}(\rho) \neq \text{supp}(\sigma)$

then ~~at~~ ~~$p_{i,j}$~~ \exists non-zero
($p_{j,j}$ could be also)

if we find that

$$\text{Tr}[\rho \log_2(\sigma + \epsilon I)]$$

$$= \text{Tr} \left[\begin{bmatrix} p_{j,0} & p_{j,1} \\ p_{j,1}^+ & p_{j,1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \log(\sigma + \epsilon I) & 0 \\ 0 & \log \epsilon I \sigma^+ \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

$$= \text{Tr}[p_{j,0} \log(\sigma + \epsilon I)]$$

$$+ \text{Tr}[p_{j,1} \log_2 \epsilon I \sigma^+]$$

↓

$$\log_2 \epsilon \underbrace{\text{Tr}[p_{j,1} I \sigma^+]}_{> 0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\text{"}) = -\infty$$

↓ relative entropy blows up.

(5)

For $\rho = \sum_j p_j |\psi_j\rangle\langle\psi_j|$ (spectral decomposition)
 $\sigma = \sum_k q_k |\phi_k\rangle\langle\phi_k|$

$$D(\rho|\sigma) = \sum_{j,k} |\langle\psi_j|\phi_k\rangle|^2 p_j \log_2 \left(\frac{p_j}{q_k} \right)$$

can define probability distributions

$$\lambda_{j|k} = p_j |\langle\psi_j|\phi_k\rangle|^2$$

$$\mu_{j|k} = q_k |\langle\psi_j|\phi_k\rangle|^2$$

then

$$D(\rho|\sigma) = D(\lambda|\mu)$$

↑
classical relative
entropy

(6)

Properties of Q. relative entropy

1. Isometric invariance:

$$D(\rho||\sigma) = D(V\rho V^\dagger || V\sigma V^\dagger)$$

for V an isometry,

2. a) If $\text{Tr}[\sigma] \leq 1$, then $D(\rho||\sigma) \geq 0$

Spe. b) then $D(\rho||\sigma) = 0$ iff $\rho = \sigma$
 $\text{Tr}[\sigma] \leq 1$.

c) If $\rho \leq \sigma$, then $D(\rho||\sigma) \leq 0$.

d) If $\sigma \leq \sigma'$, then

$$D(\rho||\sigma) \geq D(\rho||\sigma')$$

3. Additivity:

$$D(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 || \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2) = D(\rho_1 || \sigma_1) + D(\rho_2 || \sigma_2)$$

(7)

A. Direct-sum prop.:

$$\text{Let } p_{XA} = \sum_x p(x) |x\rangle\langle x| \otimes \rho_A^x \quad \&$$

$$\sigma_{XA} = \sum_x q(x) |x\rangle\langle x| \otimes \sigma_A^x$$

then

$$D(p_{XA} \| \sigma_{XA}) = D(p \| q) + \sum_x p(x) D(\rho_A^x \| \sigma_A^x)$$

Proofs: Sps. we have
data-processing:

$$D(p \| \sigma) \geq D(x(p) \| x(\sigma))$$

$$\text{then } D(p \| \sigma) = D(V_p V^\dagger \| V_\sigma V^\dagger)$$

follows from

$$D(p \| \sigma) \geq D(V_p V^\dagger \| V_\sigma V^\dagger)$$

$$\& D(V_p V^\dagger \| V_\sigma V^\dagger) \geq D(\mathbb{P}^V \cdot p \| \mathbb{P}^V \cdot \sigma)$$

(8)

$$= D(\rho||\sigma)$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{P}^V(\cdot) = V^+(\cdot)V$$

$$+ \text{Tr}[(I - VV^+)(\cdot)]\tau$$

for some state τ .

$$2a.) \quad D(\rho||\sigma) \geq D(\text{Tr}[\rho]||\text{Tr}[\sigma])$$

$$= 1 \log \frac{1}{\text{Tr}[\sigma]} \geq 0$$

by assumption

$$2b.) \quad \text{If } \rho = \sigma, \text{ then } D(\rho||\sigma) = 0$$

$$\text{SpS } D(\rho||\sigma) = 0$$

Later we learn that

$$D(\rho||\sigma) \geq -\log_2 F(\rho, \sigma)$$

$$\text{So } D(\rho||\sigma) = 0 \Rightarrow F(\rho, \sigma) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho = \sigma \quad (\text{under assumption that } \text{Tr}[\sigma] \leq 1)$$

9

2c) Suppose ρ & σ positive definite

then $\rho \leq \sigma \Rightarrow \log \rho \leq \log \sigma$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Tr}[\rho (\log \rho - \log \sigma)] \leq 0 \quad (\log \text{ op. monotone})$$

2d) again operator monotonicity of \log

others by direct calculation

Joint convexity of relative entropy

$$\sum_x p(x) D(\rho^x \| \sigma^x) \geq D(\bar{\rho} \| \bar{\sigma})$$

where

$$\bar{\rho} = \sum_x p(x) \rho^x \quad \& \quad \bar{\sigma} = \sum_x p(x) \sigma^x$$

10

Proof: apply data processing
under T_{r_x} to
 p_{x_A} & σ_{x_A} , as well as
direct-sum property

Other entropies from relative entropy

$$H(\rho) = -D(\rho \| \mathbb{I})$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(A|B)_\rho &= -D(\rho_{AB} \| \mathbb{I}_A \otimes \rho_B) \\ &= -\inf_{\sigma_B} D(\rho_{AB} \| \mathbb{I}_A \otimes \sigma_B) \end{aligned}$$

$$I(A \rightarrow B) = D(\rho_{AB} \| \mathbb{I}_A \otimes \rho_B)$$

$$I(A; B) = D(\rho_{AB} \| \rho_A \otimes \rho_B)$$

↑
can also minimize
over either or both

(11)

Properties of entropy:

$$H(\rho \otimes \tau) = H(\rho) + H(\tau)$$

$$H(\rho) = H(V \rho V^\dagger) \quad \text{for } V \text{ an isometry}$$

$$H(\bar{\rho}) \geq \sum_x p(x) H(\rho^x) \quad \text{(follows from joint concavity of QRE)}$$

$$H(\rho) \geq 0 \quad \text{follows from spectral decomp., concavity, \& entropy of pure state is zero}$$

$$H(\rho) \leq \log d$$

from concavity, mixing under

Heisenberg-Weyl, \& evaluating for max. mixed state

(12)

$$H\left(\sum_x p(x) |x\rangle\langle x| \otimes \rho^x\right) = H(p) + \sum_x p(x) H(\rho^x)$$

Chain rules

$$H(AB|C) = H(A|C) + H(B|AC)$$

(can pick C trivial)

$$I(A; BC|D) = I(A; B|D)$$

$$+ I(A; C|BD)$$

(can pick D trivial)

Strong subadditivity follows from
data processing for relative entropy

$$I(A; B|C) \geq 0$$

(13)

$$I(A; B|C) = H(B|C) - H(B|AC)$$

$$= -D(p_{BC} \| I_{B \otimes p_C})$$

$$+ D(p_{ABC} \| I_{B \otimes p_{AC}})$$

Then T_{RA} is the channel

to use in data processing to get

$$D(p_{ABC} \| I_{B \otimes p_{AC}}) \geq D(p_{BC} \| I_{B \otimes p_C})$$