

Lecture 18

①

Fidelity is an alternative measure,
but it is a similarity measure.

Start with the definition for
pure states $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ & $|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$

$$F(\psi, \phi) = |\langle\psi|\phi\rangle|^2$$

simply the squared overlap
of the state vectors.

Interpretation: probability that
 $|\phi\rangle$ would pass a test for
being $|\psi\rangle$.

Specifically, the test is the
measurement $\{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|, I - |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\}$.

(2)

where the first outcome corresponds to "pass." Probability of "pass" is then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr} [|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|] &= |\langle\psi|\phi\rangle|^2 \\ &= F(\psi, \phi)\end{aligned}$$

observe that Fidelity is symmetric in the states.

Generalising this formula to ~~the~~ a density operator ρ and a pure state ψ , we define Fidelity as

$$\begin{aligned}F(\psi, \rho) &= \text{Tr} [|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \rho] \\ &= \langle\psi|\rho|\psi\rangle\end{aligned}$$

In this way, it retains the interpretation as the prob.

(3)

that ρ passes a test for being $(\neq) \neq I$.

How to generalize fidelity

to arbitrary density operators?

could consider

$$\text{Tr}[\rho\sigma]$$

↓ argue that this is probability
that σ would pass a test

for being ρ , according
to measurement

$$\{\rho, I - \rho\}.$$

However, big problem w/ this def.:

fidelity should be equal to one
if ρ states are the same.

But not true for this formula:

$$\text{pick } \rho = \sigma = I/d.$$

(4)

Idea: go back to pure state
formula & optimize
overall purifications of
 ρ & σ :

$$F(\rho, \sigma) = \max_{|\psi^{\rho}\rangle_{RS}, |\psi^{\sigma}\rangle_{RS}} |\langle \psi^{\rho} | \psi^{\sigma} \rangle_{RS}|^2$$

where

$$\text{Tr}_R [|\psi^{\rho}\rangle_{RS}\langle\psi^{\rho}|] = \rho_S \quad \&$$

$$\text{Tr}_R [|\psi^{\sigma}\rangle_{RS}\langle\psi^{\sigma}|] = \sigma_S \quad ,$$

then fidelity = 1 if
 ρ & σ are the same.

Since all purifications are related
by an isometry acting on ref.
system we can optimize fidelity
as

(5)

So fidelity is

$$F(\rho, \sigma) = \max_{\substack{V_R^P \\ R \rightarrow R', \\ V_R^\sigma \\ R \rightarrow R'}} |\langle \psi^P | (V_{R \rightarrow R'}^P \otimes I_S)^\dagger (V_{R \rightarrow R'}^\sigma \otimes I_S) | \psi^\sigma \rangle_{RS}|^2$$

$$= \max_{\substack{V_R^P \\ R \rightarrow R', \\ V_R^\sigma \\ R \rightarrow R'}} |\langle \psi^P |_{RS} (V_R^P + V_R^\sigma) \otimes I_S | \psi^\sigma \rangle_{RS}|^2$$

can be shown \rightarrow

$$= \max_{U_R} |\langle \psi^P |_{RS} U_R \otimes I_S | \psi^\sigma \rangle_{RS}|^2$$

(alternatively, set V^P & V^σ to be unitaries)

this is called Uhlmann formula for fidelity.

can show that

$$F(\rho, \sigma) = \|\sqrt{\rho} \sqrt{\sigma}\|_1^2$$

$$= \left(\text{Tr} \left[\sqrt{\sqrt{\sigma} \rho \sqrt{\sigma}} \right] \right)^2$$

well known standard formula for fidelity.

(6)

let's prove this. Consider that

$$\|A\|_1 = \max_U |\text{Tr}[AU]|$$

where U is unitary.

then

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\sigma}\|_1 = \max_U |\text{Tr}[\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\sigma}U]|$$

$$= \max_U \left| \langle \Gamma |_{RS} \left(\sqrt{\rho} \sqrt{\sigma} U \right)_R | \Gamma \rangle_{RS} \right|$$

$$= \max_U \left| \langle \Gamma |_{RS} \mathbb{I}_R \otimes (\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\sigma}U)_S | \Gamma \rangle_{RS} \right|$$

$$= \max_U \left| \langle \Gamma |_{RS} U_R^T \otimes \sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\sigma} | \Gamma \rangle_{RS} \right|$$

$$= \max_U \left| \langle \Gamma |_{RS} (\mathbb{I}_R \otimes \sqrt{\rho}_S) (U_R^T \otimes \mathbb{I}_S) \right.$$

$$\left. (\mathbb{I}_R \otimes \sqrt{\sigma}_S) | \Gamma \rangle_{RS} \right|$$

$$= \max_U \left| \langle \Psi^R |_{RS} U_R^T \otimes \mathbb{I}_S | \Psi^S \rangle_{RS} \right|$$

done...

(7)

Fidelity can be evaluated by
a semi-definite program

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{F(\rho, \sigma)} &= \frac{1}{2} \max_X \left\{ \text{Tr}[X] + \text{Tr}[X^\dagger] : \right. \\ &\quad \left. \begin{pmatrix} \rho & X \\ X^\dagger & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \geq 0 \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \min_{Y, Z \geq 0} \left\{ \text{Tr}[Y\rho] + \text{Tr}[Z\sigma] : \right. \\ &\quad \left. \begin{bmatrix} Y & I \\ I & Z \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Properties of fidelity

1) $F(\rho, \sigma) \in [0, 1]$

it is equal to zero iff states
are orthogonal $\rho\sigma = 0$

+ equal to one iff states are
identical.

8

Suppose that $\rho = \sigma$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \|\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\sigma}\|_1^2 &= \|\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\rho}\|_1^2 \\ &= \|\rho\|_1^2 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Sp. that $\rho\sigma = 0$

$$\text{Then } \text{Tr}[\rho\sigma] = 0$$

$$= \text{Tr}[\sqrt{\sigma}\rho\sqrt{\sigma}] = 0$$

Since $\sqrt{\sigma}\rho\sqrt{\sigma}$ is PSD

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{\sigma}\rho\sqrt{\sigma} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \|\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\sigma}\|_1^2 &= \left(\text{Tr}[\sqrt{\sigma}\rho\sqrt{\sigma}]\right)^2 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $F(\rho, \sigma) = 0$

$$\text{Then } \|\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\sigma}\|_1^2 = 0 \Rightarrow \sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\sigma} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho\sigma = 0$$

9

Suppose that $F(\rho, \sigma) = 1$

By Uhlmann's theorem, \exists exists unitary U_P such that

$$|\langle \psi_P |_{PS} U_P \otimes I_S | \psi^\sigma \rangle_{PS}|^2 = 1$$

unitary can be chosen such that

$$\langle \psi_P | U \otimes I | \psi^\sigma \rangle = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow |\psi_P\rangle = U \otimes I |\psi^\sigma\rangle$$

\Rightarrow reduced states are the same.

2) Fidelity invariant under isometries

$$F(\rho, \sigma) = F(V\rho V^\dagger, V\sigma V^\dagger)$$

follows because

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sqrt{V\rho V^\dagger} \sqrt{V\sigma V^\dagger}\|_1^2 &= \|\sqrt{V\rho V^\dagger} V \sqrt{\sigma} V^\dagger\|_1^2 \\ &= \|V \sqrt{\rho} \sqrt{\sigma} V^\dagger\|_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

(10)

$$= \|\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\sigma}\|_1^2$$

3) Fidelity is multiplicative:

$$F(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2, \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2) = F(\rho_1, \sigma_1) \cdot F(\rho_2, \sigma_2)$$

use definition...

Data-processing inequality

$$F(\rho, \sigma) \leq F(N(\rho), N(\sigma))$$

where N is a channel

Recall that $N(\cdot) = \text{Tr}_E[V(\cdot)V^\dagger]$

for an isometry V

Since $F(\rho, \sigma) = F(V\rho V^\dagger, V\sigma V^\dagger)$

it suffices to prove data-proc.
under partial trace.

(11)

Let ρ_{AB} & σ_{AB} be bipartite states

w/ purifications $|\psi^{\rho}\rangle_{RAB}$ &

$|\psi^{\sigma}\rangle_{RAB}$

then ψ^{ρ}_{RAB} purifies ρ_A &

ψ^{σ}_{RAB} " σ_A .

Using Uhlmann's theorem;

$$F(\rho_{AB}, \sigma_{AB}) = \max_{U_R} |\langle \psi^{\rho} |_{RAB} U_R \otimes I_{AB} | \psi^{\sigma} \rangle_{RAB}|^2$$

$$\leq \max_{U_{RB}} |\langle \psi^{\rho} |_{RAB} U_{RB} \otimes I_A | \psi^{\sigma} \rangle_{RAB}|^2$$

$$= F(\rho_A, \sigma_A).$$

then proof for channels is

$$F(N(\rho), N(\sigma)) = F(\text{Tr}_E[V_{\rho}V^{\dagger}], \text{Tr}_E[V_{\sigma}V^{\dagger}])$$

$$\geq F(V_{\rho}V^{\dagger}, V_{\sigma}V^{\dagger})$$

$$= F(\rho, \sigma)$$

Joint concavity of root fidelity

$$\sqrt{F\left(\sum_x p(x) \rho^x, \sum_x p(x) \sigma^x\right)} \geq \sum_x p(x) \sqrt{F(\rho^x, \sigma^x)}$$

Proof: pick cq states

$$\rho_{XA} = \sum_x p(x) |x\rangle\langle x|_X \otimes \rho_A^x$$

$$\sigma_{XA} = \sum_x p(x) |x\rangle\langle x|_X \otimes \sigma_A^x$$

then $F(\rho_{XA}, \sigma_{XA}) \leq F(\rho_A, \sigma_A)$

↑ = $F\left(\sum_x p(x) \rho_A^x, \sum_x p(x) \sigma_A^x\right)$

Now evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{F(\rho_{XA}, \sigma_{XA})} &= \|\sqrt{\rho_{XA}} \sqrt{\sigma_{XA}}\|_1 \\ &= \left\| \left(\sum_x |x\rangle\langle x|_X \otimes \sqrt{p(x) \rho^x} \right) \left(\sum_{x'} |x'\rangle\langle x'|_X \otimes \sqrt{p(x') \sigma^{x'}} \right) \right\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

(13)

$$= \left\| \sum_x |x\rangle\langle x| \otimes p(x) \sqrt{\rho^x} \sqrt{\sigma^x} \right\|_1$$

$$= \sum_x \left\| p(x) \sqrt{\rho^x} \sqrt{\sigma^x} \right\|_1$$

$$= \sum_x p(x) \left\| \sqrt{\rho^x} \sqrt{\sigma^x} \right\|_1$$

$$= \sum_x p(x) \sqrt{F(\rho^x, \sigma^x)}$$

Fidelity via measurement

$$F(\rho, \sigma) = \min_{\{\Lambda_x\}_x} \left(\sum_x \sqrt{\text{Tr}[\Lambda_x \rho] \text{Tr}[\Lambda_x \sigma]} \right)^2$$

conclude " \leq " by taking \mathcal{M}
to be a measurement channel

$$\mathcal{M}(\omega) = \sum_x \text{Tr}[\Lambda_x \omega] |x\rangle\langle x|$$

† apply data processing

$$F(\rho, \sigma) \leq F(\mathcal{M}(\rho), \mathcal{M}(\sigma))$$

(14)

Now construct a POVM that achieves fidelity.

$$\text{Set } A = \sigma^{-1/2} (\sigma^{1/2} \rho \sigma^{1/2})^{1/2} \sigma^{-1/2}$$

and observe that

\uparrow
inverse
on
support.

$$\begin{aligned} F(\rho, \sigma) &= \\ & \left(\text{Tr} \left[\sqrt{\sigma \rho \sigma} \right] \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\text{Tr} [A \sigma] \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

~~the~~

Diagonalize A as $A = \sum_i \lambda_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consider that } \text{Tr} [A \sigma] &= \\ &= \text{Tr} \left[\sum_i \lambda_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| \sigma \right] \\ &= \sum_i \lambda_i \langle \psi_i | \sigma | \psi_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \sqrt{\langle \psi_i | \sigma | \psi_i \rangle} \sqrt{\langle \psi_i | \sigma | \psi_i \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_i \sqrt{\langle \psi_i | A \sigma A | \psi_i \rangle} \sqrt{\langle \psi_i | \sigma | \psi_i \rangle}$$

$$= \sum_i \sqrt{\langle \psi_i | \rho | \psi_i \rangle} \sqrt{\langle \psi_i | \sigma | \psi_i \rangle}$$

$(A \sigma A = \rho)$

We showed that

$$F(\rho, \sigma) = \left(\sum_i \sqrt{\langle \psi_i | \rho | \psi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i | \sigma | \psi_i \rangle} \right)^2$$

so that measurement is

given by $\{ |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| \}_{i=1}^n$