

## Lecture 22

①  
21 APR 2014

We have now proved that

Local Hamiltonian (LH)  $\in$  QMA

& YES instances of any QMA promise problem can be mapped to YES instances of LH. We did this via the Feynman-Kitaev circuit-to-Hamiltonian construction.

We will now finish off the proof

that S-LH is QMA-hard by

mapping NO instances of any QMA promise problem to NO instances of

S-LH. We ~~are~~ will then be able

to conclude that S-LH is QMA-complete,

thus finishing off the proof of the

"quantum Cook-Levin theorem."

2

To map NO instances of QMA to NO instances of LH, we need to find a lower bound on the minimum eigenvalue of our constructed Hamiltonian:

$$H_{in} + H_{out} + H_{prop}$$

It is true that  $H_{in}$  &  $H_{out}$  commute, but these do not necessarily commute w/  $H_{prop}$  (bc  $H_{prop}$  has the full quantum computation encoded in it). So we will require something nontrivial.

This is where "Kitaev's geometrical lemma" comes into play:

Let  $A_1, A_2 \geq 0$ , such that the minimum non-zero eigenvalue of both operators is lower bounded by  $\nu > 0$ . Suppose that the null spaces  $L_1$  &  $L_2$  of  $A_1$  &  $A_2$  have trivial intersection  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \{0\}$ . Then

$$A_1 + A_2 \geq 2\nu \sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha(L_1, L_2)}{2}\right) I$$

where the angle between two subspaces  $X$  &  $Y$

is defined as

(3)

$\alpha(L, Y)$  such that

$$\cos(\alpha(L, Y)) \equiv \max_{\substack{|x\rangle \in L, \\ |y\rangle \in Y}} |\langle x|y\rangle|$$

where maximization is over unit vectors in each space.

Proof: From the definition of  $v$ , we have that

$$A_1 \geq v(I - \Pi_{L_1}) \quad \& \quad A_2 \geq v(I - \Pi_{L_2})$$

where  $\Pi_{L_i}$  is the projector onto the space  $L_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . So it then suffices to prove that

$$v(I - \Pi_{L_1}) + v(I - \Pi_{L_2}) \geq 2v \sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha(L_1, L_2)}{2}\right) I$$

This is equivalent to

$$2v I - 2v \sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha(L_1, L_2)}{2}\right) I \geq v(\Pi_{L_1} + \Pi_{L_2})$$

which is the same as

$$2 \left[ 1 - \sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha(L_1, L_2)}{2}\right) \right] I \geq \Pi_{L_1} + \Pi_{L_2}$$

Using trig. identity  $\cos(2\theta) = 1 - 2\sin^2(\theta)$ , this is equivalent to

$$\left[ I + \cos(\alpha(L_1, L_2)) \right] I \geq \Pi_{L_1} + \Pi_{L_2}$$

so we will focus on proving this one...

So we need to upper bound all the eigenvalues of  $\Pi_{L_1} + \Pi_{L_2}$ . To this end,

suppose that  $|\psi\rangle$  is an eigenvector

w/ eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , i.e.,  $(\Pi_{L_1} + \Pi_{L_2})|\psi\rangle = \lambda|\psi\rangle$

Then let  $|x_1\rangle$  &  $|x_2\rangle$  be unit vectors &  $u_1$  &  $u_2$  real & non-negative such that

$$\Pi_{L_1}|\psi\rangle = u_1|x_1\rangle \quad \& \quad \Pi_{L_2}|\psi\rangle = u_2|x_2\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } \langle\psi|\Pi_{L_1}|\psi\rangle &= (\langle\psi|\Pi_{L_1}) (\Pi_{L_1}|\psi\rangle) \\ &= \langle x_1|u_1\rangle (u_1|x_1\rangle) \\ &= u_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\lambda = \langle\psi|(\Pi_{L_1} + \Pi_{L_2})|\psi\rangle = u_1^2 + u_2^2$$

But we also know that  $\lambda|\psi\rangle = (\Pi_{L_1} + \Pi_{L_2})|\psi\rangle = u_1|x_1\rangle + u_2|x_2\rangle$

implying that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 &= [\langle\psi|\lambda] [\lambda|\psi\rangle] = (\langle x_1|u_1 + \langle x_2|u_2) (u_1|x_1\rangle + u_2|x_2\rangle) \\ &= u_1^2 + u_2^2 + 2u_1u_2 \operatorname{Re}\{\langle x_1|x_2\rangle\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } \gamma = \operatorname{Re} \{ \langle x_1 | x_2 \rangle \}$$

⑤

Putting these together, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + |\gamma|) r - r^2 &= (1 + |\gamma|) (u_1^2 + u_2^2) \\ &\quad - (u_1^2 + u_2^2 + 2u_1 u_2 \gamma) \\ &= u_1^2 |\gamma| + u_2^2 |\gamma| - 2u_1 u_2 \gamma \\ &= |\gamma| (u_1^2 + u_2^2 \pm 2u_1 u_2) \\ &= |\gamma| (u_1 \pm u_2)^2 \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So we have } (1 + |\operatorname{Re} \{ \langle x_1 | x_2 \rangle \}|) r \geq r^2$$

which means that

$$\begin{aligned} r &\leq 1 + |\operatorname{Re} \{ \langle x_1 | x_2 \rangle \}| \\ &\leq 1 + \cos(\alpha(L_1, L_2)) \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma.  $\square$

(6)

So we now need to figure out how to apply the lemma to our case.

We will take  $A_1 = H_{in} + H_{out}$  &

$$A_2 = H_{prop}$$

So we need to figure out  $v$  &  $\cos(\alpha(L_1, L_2))$  for this choice.

Consider that  $A_1$  ~~is~~ is a sum of commuting projectors. Since smallest non-zero eigenvalue of any projector is 1, this serves as a lower bound on  $\lambda_{min}$  for  $A_1$  (a sum of 2 projectors).

Last time we argued that

$H_{prop}$  is partially diagonalized in such a way that the matrix acting on the clock register is tridiagonal, of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & -1 & 2 & -1 & \\ & & & -1 & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues are then

7

$$\lambda_k = 2 \left( 1 - \cos \left( \frac{\pi k}{L+1} \right) \right) \quad \text{where}$$

$$k \in \{0, \dots, L\}$$

$\lambda_0 = 0$  so next largest non-zero eigenvalue is

$$\lambda_1 = 2 \left( 1 - \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{L+1} \right) \right) \geq c/L^2$$

for some <sup>positive</sup> constant  $c$ .

This follows from a Taylor series expansion for cosine.

So all of this means that the minimum <sup>non-zero</sup> eigenvalue of both  $A_1$  &  $A_2$  is bounded from below by  $\frac{c}{L^2}$

(for  $L$  large enough)

We now need to reason about the angle between the null spaces of  $A_1$  &  $A_2$ .

For this purpose, it makes things easier to apply  $W^\dagger(\cdot)W$  to every term in the Hamiltonian where  $W = \sum_{t=0}^L u_t \dots u_t \otimes |t\rangle\langle t|$  (recall that this uncomputes the Hamiltonian)

So then we can think of  $L_1$  decomposing as

$$L_1 = \left[ H^{\otimes p(n)}_P \otimes |0\rangle_A^{\otimes n} \otimes |0\rangle_C \right] \oplus \left[ \left( H^{\otimes p(n)+n} \right)_{PA} \otimes \text{span} \{ |1\rangle, \dots, |L-1\rangle \} \right] \oplus \left[ U_1^\dagger \dots U_L^\dagger ( |1\rangle \otimes H^{\otimes p(n)+n-1} \otimes |L\rangle_C ) \right]$$

$$L_2 = \left( H^{\otimes p(n)+n} \right)_{PA} \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{L+1}} \sum_{t=0}^L |t\rangle$$

So we'll use this structure to estimate

$$\cos^2(\angle(L_1, L_2))$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \cos^2(\angle(L_1, L_2)) &= \max_{\substack{|x\rangle \in L_1, \\ |y\rangle \in L_2}} |\langle x|y\rangle|^2 \\ &= \max_{\substack{|x\rangle \in L_1, \\ |y\rangle \in L_2}} \langle y|x\rangle \langle x|y\rangle = \max_{|y\rangle \in L_2} \langle y|\Pi_{L_1}|y\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(This last one is true b/c } \langle y|\Pi_{L_1}|y\rangle &= \|\Pi_{L_1}|y\rangle\|_2^2 \\ &= \max_{|x\rangle \in L_1} \langle x|\Pi_{L_1}|y\rangle \\ &= \max_{|x\rangle \in L_1} |\langle x|y\rangle|^2) \end{aligned}$$



To estimate  $\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle$ , we can use the <sup>direct sum</sup> structure of  $L_1$ . Also, note that any

$|\psi\rangle \in L_2$  takes the form

$$|\psi\rangle \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{L+1}} \sum_{t=0}^L |t\rangle$$

So  $L_1$  breaks down into three orthogonal projections  ~~$\Pi_{K_1}, \Pi_{K_2}, \Pi_{K_3}$~~

For the second, it is

$$\langle \psi | \Pi_{K_2} | \psi \rangle = \frac{L-1}{L+1} \quad \text{b/c every term in } \frac{1}{\sqrt{L+1}} \sum_{t=0}^L |t\rangle \text{ gives a contribution except for } |0\rangle \text{ \& } |L\rangle$$

For the 1st + ~~3rd~~, ~~we have~~

$$\text{let } K_1 = H_P^{\otimes p(n)} \otimes |0\rangle_A \otimes \dots \text{ \& } K_2 = U_1^\dagger \dots U_n^\dagger (|1\rangle \otimes H^{p(n)+n-1})$$

So the contributions from these are

$$\langle \psi | (\Pi_{K_1} \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|_C + \Pi_{K_2} \otimes |L\rangle\langle L|_C) | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{L+1} \langle \psi | \Pi_{K_1} + \Pi_{K_2} | \psi \rangle$$

But we can use the lemma from before 10  
to find that

$$\frac{1}{L+1} \langle \psi | (\pi_{k_1} + \pi_{k_2}) | \psi \rangle \leq$$

$$\frac{1}{L+1} (1 + \cos^2(k_1, k_2)) \quad (*)$$

$$\text{But } \cos^2(k_1, k_2) = \max_{\substack{|k_1\rangle \in K_1, \\ |k_2\rangle \in K_2}} |\langle k_1 | k_2 \rangle|^2$$

$$= \max_{|\psi\rangle} \left\| \langle \psi | \otimes I \cdot U_L \cdots U_1 | \psi \rangle \right\|_2^2$$

For NO instances, we have the  
promise that this is  $\leq \epsilon$

$$\Rightarrow (*) \leq \frac{1}{L+1} (1 + \sqrt{\epsilon})$$

Adding all three contributions gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \cos^2(2(L_1, L_2)) &\leq \frac{L-1}{L+1} + \frac{1+\sqrt{\epsilon}}{L+1} \\ &= 1 - \frac{1-\sqrt{\epsilon}}{L+1} \end{aligned}$$

(11)

$$\Rightarrow \sin^2(2(L_1, L_2)) \geq \frac{1-\sqrt{\epsilon}}{L+1}$$

can further use

$$\sin^2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4} \sin^2(x)$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2\left(\frac{2(L_1, L_2)}{2}\right) &\geq \frac{1}{4} \sin^2(2(L_1, L_2)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{\epsilon}}{L+1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Putting all this together gives that in the case of a NO instance of QMA, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\min}(H_{in} + H_{out} + H_{prog}) &\geq \frac{c}{L^2} \left( \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{\epsilon}}{L+1} \right) \right) \\ &= \Omega\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{\epsilon}}{L^3}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and we're done...