

Lecture 13

1

12 MARCH 2014

How can the quantum order finding algorithm fail?

1) phase estimation might produce a bad estimate to r .

But $\Pr\{\cdot\} \leq \epsilon$, so we can just increase the size of the circuit to reduce this probability.

2) But it could be that k & r have a common factor?

Simple way around this:

For a randomly chosen k , the chances

that k & r are co-prime

are pretty good. One can show that the # of primes less than n is $\approx \frac{n}{2 \log n}$

less than n is $\approx \frac{n}{2 \log n}$

so that the chance that s is prime

is $\approx \frac{1}{2 \log n} \approx \frac{1}{2 \log N}$ so repeating the algorithm $2 \log N$ times gives k/r

(2)

w/ high probability such that they are co-prime, so that the continued fractions algorithm produces r .

Other methods work also...

Reduction of factoring to order finding

(i.e., a fast algorithm for order finding is automatically a fast algorithm for factoring)

Proceed in 2 steps:

- 1) Show that we can compute a factor of N if we can find a nontrivial solution $x \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{N}$ to the equation $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$
- 2) a randomly chosen y co-prime to N is quite likely to have an order r which is even

3

\exists such that $y^{r/2} \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{N}$

\exists so $x = y^{r/2} \pmod{N}$ is

a non trivial solution to

$$x^2 = 1 \pmod{N}$$

(b/c $y^r \pmod{N} = 1 \pmod{N}$
from definition of order)

We can appeal to the following theorems for this

Thm 1: Suppose N is an L bit composite number & x is a non-trivial solution to

$$x^2 = 1 \pmod{N} \text{ in the range}$$

$1 \leq x \leq N$ such that neither

$$x = 1 \pmod{N} \text{ nor } x = N-1 = -1 \pmod{N}$$

then at least one of

$\text{GCD}(x-1, N)$ and $\text{GCD}(x+1, N)$
is a non-trivial factor of N computable w/
 $O(L^2)$ ops

4

Proof: Since $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, we have

$$x^2 - 1 \pmod{N} = 0 \pmod{N}$$

so that N divides

$$x^2 - 1 = (x+1)(x-1). \text{ This}$$

implies that N has a common factor w/ $x+1$ ^{or} ~~and~~ $x-1$.

But by assumption $1 < x < N-1$,

$$\text{so } x+1 < N$$

$$\& \quad x-1 < x+1 < N$$

so that N cannot be the common factor

We can then compute $\text{GCD}(x-1, N)$

& $\text{GCD}(x+1, N)$ ~~and~~ get a non-trivial factor of N w/

$O(2^3)$ ops.

5

Another thm: Suppose $N = p_1^{d_1} \dots p_m^{d_m}$
is a prime factorization of
an odd composite integer.

Let x be an integer chosen
uniformly @ random subject
to $1 \leq x \leq N-1$ & x is
co-prime to N .

Let r be the order of
 $x \pmod{N}$. Then

$$\Pr \left\{ r \text{ is even \& } x^{r/2} \not\equiv -1 \pmod{N} \right\} \\ \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^m}$$

(so when $m=2$, $\Pr \{ \cdot \} \geq 1/2$)

6

Full reduction:

Input: composite # N

output: nontrivial factor of N

Runtime: $O((\log N)^3)$ ops,
constant success prob.

Alg.

1. If N even, return factor 2.

2. If $N = a^b$ for $a \geq 1$ & $b \geq 2$,
return a (classical alg. for
this special case)

3. choose $x \in [1, N-1]$ uniformly
@ random. If $\text{GCD}(x, N) > 1$
then return the factor $\text{GCD}(x, N)$

4. If not, use quantum order finding
to find order r of $x \pmod N$.

5. If r is even & $x^{r/2} \not\equiv -1 \pmod N$

then compute $\text{GCD}(x^{r/2} - 1, N)$
 $\text{GCD}(x^{r/2} + 1, N)$ & test if
factor

success
prob. $\geq 1/2$