

This document is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 Unported License.

## 1 Overview

In the last lecture, we developed the background required to study single-mode bosonic systems. We studied creation, annihilation, position, and momentum operators and their properties. We then extended the above for multiple-mode bosonic systems, and introduced the canonical symplectic form.

In this lecture, we will introduce the mean vector in Section 2.1 and the covariance matrix of a bosonic state in Section 2.2. We will then derive constraints that are fulfilled by a covariance matrix of a bosonic state in Section 3.

## 2 Mean vector and covariance matrix

Consider the vector  $\hat{r}$  of canonical quadrature operators for an  $m$ -mode bosonic system:

$$\hat{r} \equiv (\hat{x}_1, \hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_m, \hat{p}_m)^T, \quad (1)$$

where  $\hat{x}$  refers to the position-quadrature operator and  $\hat{p}$  refers to the momentum-quadrature operator.

### 2.1 Mean vector

For a state  $\rho$  of multiple modes, the mean vector  $\bar{r}$  is given by

$$\bar{r} = (\bar{x}_1, \bar{p}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m, \bar{p}_m), \quad (2)$$

where the components of the mean vector are defined as follows:

$$\bar{x}_1 = \text{Tr}[\hat{x}_1\rho] = \text{Tr}\left[\left(\hat{x}_1 \otimes \hat{I} \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{I}\right)\rho\right], \quad (3)$$

$$\bar{p}_1 = \text{Tr}[\hat{p}_1\rho] = \text{Tr}\left[\left(\hat{I} \otimes \hat{p}_1 \otimes \hat{I} \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{I}\right)\rho\right], \quad (4)$$

$$\bar{x}_j = \text{Tr}[\hat{x}_j\rho] = \langle \hat{x}_j \rangle_\rho, \quad (5)$$

$$\bar{p}_j = \text{Tr}[\hat{p}_j\rho] = \langle \hat{p}_j \rangle_\rho, \quad (6)$$

where  $\hat{I}$  is the identity operator and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Then, as a shorthand we can write the mean vector as

$$\bar{r} = \text{Tr}[\hat{r}\rho] = (\text{Tr}[\hat{x}_1\rho], \text{Tr}[\hat{p}_1\rho], \dots, \text{Tr}[\hat{x}_m\rho], \text{Tr}[\hat{p}_m\rho])^T \quad (7)$$

Just like classical probability distributions need not have a finite mean, a quantum state need not have a finite mean.

## 2.2 Covariance matrix

Let us denote the covariance matrix of a quantum state by  $\sigma$ , and let the entries be given by  $\sigma_{jk}$ . Let  $\hat{r}_j$  be the  $j$ th element of  $\hat{r}$ , where  $j \in \{1, \dots, 2m\}$ , and  $m$  is the number of modes of the quantum state considered. Let us define  $\hat{r}_j^c = \hat{r}_j - \langle \hat{r}_j \rangle_\rho$ . Then, the covariance matrix elements are defined as

$$\sigma_{jk} = \text{Tr} [(\hat{r}_j^c \hat{r}_k^c + \hat{r}_k^c \hat{r}_j^c) \rho] \quad (8)$$

$$= \text{Tr} [\{\hat{r}_j^c, \hat{r}_k^c\} \rho] \quad (9)$$

$$= \langle \{\hat{r}_j^c, \hat{r}_k^c\} \rangle_\rho, \quad (10)$$

where  $\sigma_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, 2m\}$ .

Now, consider the total photon number operator

$$\hat{N} = \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{n}_j, \quad (11)$$

where  $\hat{n}_j = \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j$ . Let us define finite-energy state as the states that fulfill the following constraint:

$$\text{Tr} [\hat{N} \rho] < \infty. \quad (12)$$

**Proposition 1.** *A state has finite energy iff the elements of  $\bar{r}$  and  $\sigma$  are finite, that is  $\bar{r}_j < \infty$  and  $\sigma_{jk} < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let us first prove that if the state  $\rho$  has finite energy then the elements of its mean vector  $\bar{r}$  and covariance matrix  $\sigma$  are finite. The definition of a finite-energy state implies

$$\text{Tr} [\hat{n}_j \rho] < \infty. \quad (13)$$

Then observe that,

$$\text{Tr} [\hat{n}_j \rho] = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [(\hat{x}_j^2 + \hat{p}_j^2 - 1) \rho] < \infty. \quad (14)$$

This implies,  $\text{Tr} [\hat{x}_j^2 \rho], \text{Tr} [\hat{p}_j^2 \rho] < \infty$ . Then, we conclude the following:

$$|\bar{x}_j| = |\text{Tr} [\hat{x}_j \rho]| \quad (15)$$

$$= |\text{Tr} [\hat{x}_j \sqrt{\rho} \sqrt{\rho}]| \quad (16)$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\text{Tr} [\hat{x}_j \sqrt{\rho} \sqrt{\rho} \hat{x}_j] \cdot \text{Tr} [\sqrt{\rho} \sqrt{\rho}]} \quad (17)$$

$$= \sqrt{\text{Tr} [\hat{x}_j^2 \rho]} < \infty. \quad (18)$$

The first inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Similarly,  $|\bar{p}_j| = |\text{Tr} [\hat{p}_j \rho]| < \infty$ . Therefore, we can conclude that finite-energy states have finite mean vector.

Now, let us prove that the elements of a covariance matrix of finite-energy states are finite. First let us consider the diagonal terms:

$$\sigma_{jj} = 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[ (\hat{r}_j^c)^2 \rho \right] \quad (19)$$

$$= 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[ (\hat{r}_j - \langle \hat{r}_j \rangle)^2 \rho \right] \quad (20)$$

$$= 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{r}_j^2 \rho + \langle \hat{r}_j \rangle^2 \rho - 2 \hat{r}_j \langle \hat{r}_j \rangle \rho \right] \quad (21)$$

$$= 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{r}_j^2 \rho - \langle \hat{r}_j \rangle^2 \rho \right] \quad (22)$$

$$= 2 \left[ \langle \hat{r}_j^2 \rangle_\rho - \langle \hat{r}_j \rangle_\rho^2 \right] \quad (23)$$

$$< \infty. \quad (24)$$

Now, the first term of (23) is finite as seen previously, and the second term is finite since the mean vector of the finite-energy state is finite. Therefore, we conclude that the diagonal elements of a covariance vector of a finite-energy state are finite. Now, we consider the off-diagonal elements  $\sigma_{jk}$ , where  $j \neq k$ .

$$|\sigma_{jk}| = |\langle \hat{r}_j^c \hat{r}_k^c + \hat{r}_k^c \hat{r}_j^c \rangle_\rho| \quad (25)$$

$$\leq |\langle \hat{r}_j^c \hat{r}_k^c \rangle_\rho| + |\langle \hat{r}_k^c \hat{r}_j^c \rangle_\rho| \quad (26)$$

Now, consider

$$|\langle \hat{r}_j^c \hat{r}_k^c \rangle_\rho| = |\operatorname{Tr} [\sqrt{\rho} \hat{r}_j^c \hat{r}_k^c \sqrt{\rho}]| \quad (27)$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\operatorname{Tr} \left[ (\hat{r}_j^c)^2 \rho \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[ (\hat{r}_k^c)^2 \rho \right]} \quad (28)$$

$$< \infty \quad (29)$$

The first inequality follows from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, and the second inequality follows from (19). Now, let us prove the converse. That is, if the state is a finite-energy state, then the covariance matrix is finite.

To prove the opposite implication, consider that

$$\operatorname{Tr} (\hat{N} \rho) = \sum_{j=1}^m \operatorname{Tr} [\hat{n}_j \rho] \quad (30)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^m [\operatorname{Tr} [\hat{x}_j^2 \rho] + \operatorname{Tr} [\hat{p}_j^2 \rho] - 1] \quad (31)$$

$$< \infty \quad (32)$$

The last inequality follows from the assumed finiteness of the elements of the mean vector and covariance matrix.  $\square$

Instead of writing all the  $2m \times 2m$  elements of the covariance matrix, we condense it to write the covariance matrix as follows:

$$\sigma = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \left\{ (\hat{r} - \bar{r}), (\hat{r} - \bar{r})^\dagger \right\} \rho \right], \quad (33)$$

where,

$$\left\{ (\hat{r} - \bar{r}), (\hat{r} - \bar{r})^\dagger \right\} = \begin{bmatrix} \{\hat{r}_1 - \bar{r}_1, \hat{r}_1 - \bar{r}_1\} & \{\hat{r}_1 - \bar{r}_1, \hat{r}_2 - \bar{r}_2\} & \dots \\ \{\hat{r}_2 - \bar{r}_2, \hat{r}_1 - \bar{r}_1\} & \{\hat{r}_2 - \bar{r}_2, \hat{r}_2 - \bar{r}_2\} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

Then,

$$\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Tr} [\{\hat{r}_1 - \bar{r}_1, \hat{r}_1 - \bar{r}_1\} \rho] & \text{Tr} [\{\hat{r}_1 - \bar{r}_1, \hat{r}_2 - \bar{r}_2\} \rho] & \dots \\ \text{Tr} [\{\hat{r}_2 - \bar{r}_2, \hat{r}_1 - \bar{r}_1\} \rho] & \text{Tr} [\{\hat{r}_2 - \bar{r}_2, \hat{r}_2 - \bar{r}_2\} \rho] & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}. \quad (35)$$

### 3 Constraints on covariance matrix

In this section, we establish certain properties of the covariance matrix. We first prove that the covariance matrix (CM) of a vector of random variables is Hermitian and positive semi-definite (PSD). Next, we prove that the covariance matrix of a quantum state fulfills a stronger constraint, that is  $\sigma + i\Omega \geq 0$ , and that the covariance matrix is positive definite.

#### 3.1 CM of vector of random variables is PSD

Consider a covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  for a vector of random variables. We now prove that the covariance matrix is positive semi-definite.

**Proposition 2.** *The covariance matrix of a vector of random variables is Hermitian and PSD, that is,  $\Sigma = \Sigma^\dagger$  and  $\Sigma \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* That the covariance matrix is Hermitian follows from the definition. We now give a proof that the covariance matrix is PSD. Let  $X$  be a vector of random variables. Then,  $X = [X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]^T$ , where  $X_i$  is a random variable and has realizations in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then,

$$\Sigma = \mathbb{E} \left[ (X - \mathbb{E}(X)) (X - \mathbb{E}(X))^\dagger \right]. \quad (36)$$

Now, let  $\underline{w}$  be a constant vector in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . Consider then

$$\underline{w}^\dagger \Sigma \underline{w} = \underline{w}^\dagger \mathbb{E} \left[ (X - \mathbb{E}(X)) (X - \mathbb{E}(X))^\dagger \right] \underline{w} \quad (37)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \underline{w}^\dagger (X - \mathbb{E}(X)) (X - \mathbb{E}(X))^\dagger \underline{w} \right] \quad (38)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ |\underline{w}^\dagger (X - \mathbb{E}(X))|^2 \right] \geq 0. \quad (39)$$

Since this holds for all  $w \in \mathbb{C}^m$ , it follows that  $\Sigma \geq 0$ .  $\square$

#### 3.2 Uncertainty principle of covariance matrix

Now, we derive an important constraint on the covariance matrix of a quantum state. This is the **uncertainty principle** for the covariance matrix.

**Theorem 3.** *The covariance matrix  $\sigma$  of a quantum state fulfills the following constraint:*

$$\sigma + i\Omega \geq 0. \quad (40)$$

*Proof.* Consider the following  $(2m \times 2m)$  complex matrix given by

$$\tau = 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[ (\hat{r} - \bar{r}) (\hat{r} - \bar{r})^\dagger \rho \right]. \quad (41)$$

We first prove that  $\tau$  is PSD, and then deduce the statement of the theorem. Let  $\underline{w} \in \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ . Then,

$$\underline{w}^\dagger \tau \underline{w} = 2 \operatorname{Tr} \underline{w}^\dagger \left[ (\hat{r} - \bar{r}) (\hat{r} - \bar{r})^\dagger \rho \right] \underline{w} \quad (42)$$

$$= 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \underline{w}^\dagger (\hat{r} - \bar{r}) (\hat{r} - \bar{r})^\dagger \underline{w} \rho \right] \quad (43)$$

$$= 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{O} \hat{O}^\dagger \rho \right] \quad (44)$$

$$\geq 0, \quad (45)$$

where  $\hat{O} = \underline{w}^\dagger (\hat{r} - \bar{r})$ . Since  $\hat{O}^\dagger \hat{O}$  is PSD and so is  $\rho$ , we arrive at the last inequality. Now, the above argument holds for all  $\underline{w} \in \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ , and so we conclude that  $\tau$  is PSD.

Now, consider that

$$2\hat{r}_j \hat{r}_k = \{\hat{r}_j, \hat{r}_k\} + [\hat{r}_j, \hat{r}_k]. \quad (46)$$

This implies,

$$2(\hat{r} - \bar{r})(\hat{r} - \bar{r})^\dagger = \left\{ (\hat{r} - \bar{r}), (\hat{r} - \bar{r})^\dagger \right\} + \left[ (\hat{r} - \bar{r}), (\hat{r} - \bar{r})^\dagger \right] \quad (47)$$

$$= \left\{ (\hat{r} - \bar{r}), (\hat{r} - \bar{r})^\dagger \right\} + \left[ \hat{r}, \hat{r}^\dagger \right] \quad (48)$$

Then, we obtain the following:

$$\tau = 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \left( (\hat{r} - \bar{r}) (\hat{r} - \bar{r})^\dagger \right) \rho \right] \quad (49)$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \left\{ (\hat{r} - \bar{r}), (\hat{r} - \bar{r})^\dagger \right\} \rho \right] + \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \left[ \hat{r}, \hat{r}^\dagger \right] \rho \right] \quad (50)$$

$$= \sigma + i\Omega \geq 0. \quad (51)$$

The last inequality follows from  $\tau \geq 0$ .  $\square$

Now, we prove that  $\sigma$  is PSD. Note that the eigenvalues of a matrix do not change under a transpose. So, if they are positive, then they remain positive after the transpose of the matrix. Then,

$$\sigma + i\Omega \geq 0, \quad (52)$$

implies

$$(\sigma + i\Omega)^T \geq 0. \quad (53)$$

which in turn implies,

$$\sigma - i\Omega \geq 0. \quad (54)$$

Then combining (54) with (52), we obtain that  $\sigma \geq 0$ . That is,  $\sigma$  is a PSD.

### 3.3 CM of quantum states is positive definite

We now prove that a quantum covariance matrix is in fact positive definite. This makes them more special and easier to work mathematically than classical covariance matrices.

**Proposition 4.** *A quantum covariance matrix is positive definite.*

*Proof.* We prove this statement by contradiction. Let us assume that the quantum covariance matrix is not positive definite. That is,  $\exists$  a real, non-zero vector  $\psi \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ , such that  $\sigma|\psi\rangle = 0$ . Then, for  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ , set  $\psi(\varepsilon) = (I + \varepsilon i\Omega)\psi$ . By invoking the following assumption  $\sigma\psi = 0$ , and the following facts:  $\psi^T\Omega\psi = 0 \forall \psi \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$  and  $(i\Omega)^2 = I$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(\varepsilon)^\dagger (\sigma + i\Omega) \psi(\varepsilon) \\ &= \psi^T (I + \varepsilon i\Omega) (\sigma + i\Omega) (I + \varepsilon i\Omega) \psi \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

$$= \psi^T (I + \varepsilon i\Omega) (i\Omega + \varepsilon\sigma i\Omega + \varepsilon I) \psi \quad (56)$$

$$= \psi^T (i\Omega + \varepsilon\sigma i\Omega + \varepsilon I + \varepsilon i\Omega (i\Omega + \varepsilon\sigma i\Omega + \varepsilon I)) \psi \quad (57)$$

$$= \psi^T (i\Omega + \varepsilon\sigma i\Omega + 2\varepsilon I + \varepsilon^2\Omega^T\sigma\Omega + \varepsilon^2 i\Omega) \psi \quad (58)$$

$$= \psi^T (2\varepsilon I + \varepsilon^2\Omega^T\sigma\Omega) \psi \quad (59)$$

$$= 2\varepsilon\psi^T\psi + \varepsilon^2 (\Omega\psi)^T \sigma (\Omega\psi) \quad (60)$$

Now, suppose that  $(\Omega\psi)^T \sigma (\Omega\psi) = 0$ . Then picking  $\varepsilon < 0$ , implies that  $2\varepsilon\psi^T\psi < 0$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\sigma + i\Omega \geq 0$  for any quantum covariance matrix  $\sigma$ .

Now, suppose that  $(\Omega\psi)^T \sigma (\Omega\psi) > 0$ . Then pick  $\varepsilon < 0$  and such that

$$|\varepsilon| \leq \frac{2\psi^T\psi}{(\Omega\psi)^T \sigma (\Omega\psi)}. \quad (61)$$

This implies,

$$2\varepsilon\psi^T\psi + \varepsilon^2 (\Omega\psi)^T \sigma (\Omega\psi) < 0, \quad (62)$$

and there exists  $\psi(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$\psi(\varepsilon)^\dagger (\sigma + i\Omega) \psi(\varepsilon) < 0, \quad (63)$$

again contradicting the assumption that  $\sigma + i\Omega \geq 0$ . Hence,  $\sigma$  must be positive definite.  $\square$

### 3.4 Uncertainty principle for a single-mode bosonic state

The covariance matrix of a single-mode bosonic state is given as

$$\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 2\langle(\hat{x}^c)^2\rangle_\rho & \langle\{\hat{x}^c, \hat{p}^c\}\rangle_\rho \\ \langle\{\hat{x}^c, \hat{p}^c\}\rangle_\rho & 2\langle(\hat{p}^c)^2\rangle_\rho \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (64)$$

The  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\sigma$  is the covariance matrix of a single-mode bosonic system if and only if the following constraint holds

$$\sigma + i\Omega \geq 0 \iff \det(\sigma) \geq 1 \text{ and } \sigma > 0. \quad (65)$$

The forward direction of the above statement is easy to prove. We have already shown

$$\sigma + i\Omega \geq 0 \implies \sigma > 0. \tag{66}$$

Now we prove that

$$\sigma + i\Omega \geq 0 \implies \det(\sigma) \geq 1. \tag{67}$$

The constraint  $\sigma + i\Omega \geq 0$  implies that

$$\det(\sigma + i\Omega) = \sigma_{11}\sigma_{22} - (\sigma_{12}^2 + 1) \geq 0. \tag{68}$$

We thus see that  $\det(\sigma) \geq 1$ .