

Lecture 10

①

Previously, we proved that the uncertainty relation

$$\sigma + i\hbar \geq 0$$

holds for any n -mode state
w/ a covariance matrix σ .

Now armed w/ the Williamson decomposition theorem, we can understand this in a different way. Let S be the symplectic matrix diagonalizing σ as

$$S\sigma S^T = D = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n d_j \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma + i\Omega \geq 0$$

(2)

$$\Rightarrow S(\sigma + i\Omega)S^T \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow S\sigma S^T + iS\Omega S^T \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow D + i\Omega \geq 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^n d_j \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} d_j & i \\ -i & d_j \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} d_j & i \\ -i & d_j \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \quad \forall j$$

~~★~~ eigenvalues are

$$d_j + 1, d_j - 1 \quad \text{condition}$$

implies that $d_j \geq 1 \quad \forall j$

2a

If we have a Gaussian state ρ_A characterized by a mean vector \bar{r}_A and a covariance matrix σ_A

and another Gaussian state ρ_B with mean vector \bar{r}_B and covariance matrix σ_B

then the mean vector of the product state

$$\rho_A \otimes \rho_B \text{ is } \begin{bmatrix} \bar{r}_A \\ \bar{r}_B \end{bmatrix}$$

and the covariance matrix is

$$\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_A & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_B \end{bmatrix}$$

One can also run this backwards: if mean vector is $\begin{bmatrix} \bar{r}_A \\ \bar{r}_B \end{bmatrix}$ and cm is $\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_A & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_B \end{bmatrix}$ then state is product.

Gaussian

3

Purifying Gaussian states to a Gaussian purification

Given a thermal state of a single mode, we can write it as

$$\Theta(\bar{n}) = \frac{1}{\bar{n}+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\bar{n}}{\bar{n}+1}\right)^n |n\rangle\langle n|$$

where \bar{n} is the mean photon number. Alternatively,

$$\Theta(\bar{n}) = \frac{1}{z(\lambda)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(n+1/2)} |n\rangle\langle n|$$

where $z(\lambda) = [e^{\lambda/2} - e^{-\lambda/2}]^{-1}$ for $\lambda > 0$

purification of this state is given by two-mode squeezed vacuum state:

$$|\Psi(\bar{n})\rangle_{RA} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{n}+1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\bar{n}}{\bar{n}+1}\right)^n} |n\rangle_R |n\rangle_A.$$

Covariance matrix of TMSV

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2\bar{n}+1 & 0 & 2\sqrt{\bar{n}(\bar{n}+1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 2\bar{n}+1 & 0 & -2\sqrt{\bar{n}(\bar{n}+1)} \\ 2\sqrt{\bar{n}(\bar{n}+1)} & 0 & 2\bar{n}+1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2\sqrt{\bar{n}(\bar{n}+1)} & 0 & 2\bar{n}+1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (2\bar{n}+1)\mathbb{I} & 2\sqrt{\bar{n}(\bar{n}+1)}\sigma_z \\ 2\sqrt{\bar{n}(\bar{n}+1)}\sigma_z & (2\bar{n}+1)\mathbb{I} \end{bmatrix}$$

(4)

By Williamson theorem, any n -mode Gaussian state can be written as

$$\hat{D}_{-\bar{r}} \hat{S} \left[\bigotimes_{j=1}^n \hat{A}_j(\bar{n}_j) \right] \hat{S}^\dagger \hat{D}_{\bar{r}}$$

where \hat{S} is ^{unitary} generated by quadratic Hamiltonian.

Then a purification is given by

$$\left[\hat{D}_{-\bar{r}} \hat{S} \right] \bigotimes_{j=1}^n | \psi(\bar{n}_j) \rangle_{R_j A_j}$$

Then mean vector is $\begin{bmatrix} \bar{r} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ for purification

† covariance matrix is

$$\begin{matrix} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_n \\ R_1 \\ \vdots \\ R_n \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \bigoplus_{j=1}^n 2\sqrt{\bar{n}_j(\bar{n}_j+1)} \sigma_z \\ \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \dots \hat{S}^\dagger \bigoplus_{j=1}^n (2\bar{n}_j+1) I_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

One can arrive at this conclusion from the fact that

5

$$\sigma = S \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n (2\bar{n}_j + 1) I_2 \right) S^T$$

covariance matrix for $\bigotimes_{j=1}^n |\psi(\bar{n}_j)\rangle_{R_j A_j}$ is

$$\begin{matrix} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_n \\ R_1 \\ \vdots \\ R_n \end{matrix} \left[\begin{array}{cc} \bigoplus_{j=1}^n (2\bar{n}_j + 1) I_2 & \bigoplus_{j=1}^n 2\sqrt{\bar{n}_j(\bar{n}_j + 1)} \sigma_z \\ \bigoplus_{j=1}^n 2\sqrt{\bar{n}_j(\bar{n}_j + 1)} \sigma_z & \bigoplus_{j=1}^n (2\bar{n}_j + 1) I_2 \end{array} \right]$$

↓ symplectic matrix for $\hat{S}_{A^n \otimes I_{R^n}}$ unitary evolution is

$$\text{is } \begin{bmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

6

The purity of a state ρ is defined as

$$\text{Tr}[\rho^2]$$

It is less than or equal to one

ble

$$\rho = \sum_x \lambda_x |\phi_x\rangle\langle\phi_x|$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Tr}[\rho^2] = \sum_x \lambda_x^2$$

$$\text{since } \lambda_x \leq 1 \Rightarrow \lambda_x^2 \leq 1$$

$$\text{and } \sum_x \lambda_x = 1 \Rightarrow \sum_x \lambda_x^2 \leq 1$$

If a state is pure, then $\text{Tr}[\rho^2] = 1$

If $\text{Tr}[\rho^2] = 1$ then state is pure.

To see this consider that

$$1 = \text{Tr}[\rho^2] = \sum_x \lambda_x^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} = \text{Tr}[\rho] &= \sum_x \lambda_x \Rightarrow \text{Tr}[\rho]^2 = 1 \\ &= \sum_{x,y} \lambda_x \lambda_y = 1 \end{aligned}$$

7

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \text{Tr}[\rho^2] - \text{Tr}[\rho]^2$$

$$= \sum_x \rho_x^2 - \left[\sum_{x,y} \rho_x \rho_y \right]$$

$$= \sum_x \rho_x^2 - \left[\sum_x \rho_x^2 + \sum_{x \neq y} \rho_x \rho_y \right]$$

$$= \sum_{x \neq y} \rho_x \rho_y$$

\Rightarrow it cannot be the case that

$\rho_x \rho_y > 0$ for any two
distinct x & y

\Rightarrow only possibility is that $\rho_x = 1$ &

$\rho_y = 0 \forall y \neq x.$

Goal now is to calculate
purity for Gaussian states

Recall decomposition of Gaussian state as

$$\rho = \hat{D}_{-\bar{r}} \hat{S} \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^n \theta(\bar{n}_j) \right) \hat{S}^\dagger \hat{D}_{\bar{r}}$$

(8)

Purity is unitarily invariant

$$\Rightarrow \text{Tr}[\rho^2] = \prod_{j=1}^n \text{Tr}[\theta^2(\bar{n}_j)]$$

$$\text{then } \text{Tr}[\theta^2(\bar{n}_j)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\bar{n}_j+1)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\bar{n}_j}{\bar{n}_j+1}\right)^{2n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\bar{n}_j+1)^2} \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{\bar{n}_j}{\bar{n}_j+1}\right)^2} = \frac{1}{(\bar{n}_j+1)^2 - \bar{n}_j^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\bar{n}_j+1} = \frac{1}{r_j}$$

← symplectic

$$\Rightarrow \text{Tr}[\rho^2] = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{r_j}$$

eigenvalue
of
thermal
state

$$= \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{r_j^2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^n r_j^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Det}(D)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Det}(G)}}$$

\Rightarrow Purity of Gaussian state is $\text{Tr}[\rho^2] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Det}(\sigma)}}$ (9)

using that $\sigma = \underbrace{S D S^T}_{\text{symp. dec.}}$

$$\downarrow \text{Det}(S) = 1.$$

\Rightarrow Gaussian state is pure iff $\text{Det}(\sigma) = 1$

Since symp. eq.'s are ≥ 1 ,

equivalent condition is that

all symplectic eigenvalues

~~can be written as~~ are equal

to one, so that

symplectic decomposition is

given by $\sigma = S S^T$

(i.e., $D = I$).

(10)

Computing entropies of a quantum state:

$$S(\rho) = -\text{Tr}[\rho \log \rho]$$

one way to do this is to use unitary invariance of entropy, its additivity, & its value for thermal states.

For a thermal state,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(\bar{n}) &= \frac{1}{\bar{n}+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\bar{n}}{\bar{n}+1}\right)^n |n\rangle\langle n| \\ &= \frac{1}{\bar{n}+1} \left(\frac{\bar{n}}{\bar{n}+1}\right)^{\hat{n}}\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}& -\text{Tr}[\rho \log \rho] \\ &= -\text{Tr}\left[\frac{1}{\bar{n}+1} \left(\frac{\bar{n}}{\bar{n}+1}\right)^{\hat{n}} \theta(\bar{n}) \log \theta(\bar{n})\right] \\ &= -\text{Tr}\left[\theta(\bar{n}) \log \frac{1}{\bar{n}+1} \left(\frac{\bar{n}}{\bar{n}+1}\right)^{\hat{n}}\right]\end{aligned}$$

(11)

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\text{Tr}\left\{\theta(\bar{n}) \log\left(\frac{1}{\bar{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\ &\quad - \text{Tr}\left\{\theta(\bar{n}) \hat{n} \log\left(\frac{\bar{n}}{\bar{n}+1}\right)\right\} \\ &= \log(\bar{n}+1) - \log\left(\frac{\bar{n}}{\bar{n}+1}\right) \text{Tr}\left[\theta(\bar{n}) \hat{n}\right] \\ &= \log(\bar{n}+1) - \log\left(\frac{\bar{n}}{\bar{n}+1}\right) \bar{n} \\ &= (\bar{n}+1) \log(\bar{n}+1) - \bar{n} \log \bar{n} \\ &\equiv g(\bar{n}) \end{aligned}$$

then for a general Gaussian state,
~~it follows that~~

$$\rho = \hat{D}_{\bar{n}} \hat{S} \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^n \theta(\bar{n}_j) \right) \hat{S}^{\dagger} \hat{D}_{\bar{n}}$$

it follows that

$$S(\rho) = S\left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^n \theta(\bar{n}_j)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n S(\theta(\bar{n}_j))$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n g(\bar{n}_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n g\left(\frac{r_j + 1}{2}\right)$$

in terms
of symp.
eigenvalues.

(12)

There is a different way to get
a ~~the~~ formula, which is interesting:
for faithful Gaussian states

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let } \rho &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Det}\left(\frac{\sigma+i\Lambda}{2}\right)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\hat{r}-\bar{r})^T H (\hat{r}-\bar{r})} \\ &= \hat{D}_{-\bar{r}} \left[\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\hat{r}^T H \hat{r}}}{\sqrt{\text{Det}\left(\frac{\sigma+i\Lambda}{2}\right)}} \right] \hat{D}_{\bar{r}} \\ &\quad \lll \rho_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{then } S(\rho) = S(\rho_0)$$

$$= -\text{Tr}[\rho_0 \log \rho_0]$$

$$= -\text{Tr}\left[\rho_0 \log \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\hat{r}^T H \hat{r}}}{\sqrt{\text{Det}\left(\frac{\sigma+i\Lambda}{2}\right)}}\right]$$

$$= -\text{Tr}\left[\rho_0 \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Det}\left(\frac{\sigma+i\Lambda}{2}\right)}}\right]$$

$$- \text{Tr}\left[\rho_0 \log e^{-\frac{1}{2}\hat{r}^T H \hat{r}}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \log \text{Det}\left(\frac{\sigma+i\Lambda}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}\left[\rho_0 \hat{r}^T H \hat{r}\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Then } \text{Tr}[\rho_0 \hat{r}^\dagger H \hat{r}] &= \text{Tr}[\rho_0 \sum_{jk} \hat{r}_j H_{jk} \hat{r}_k] \\
&= \sum_{jk} H_{jk} \text{Tr}[\rho_0 \hat{r}_j \hat{r}_k] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} H_{jk} \text{Tr}[\rho_0 (\{\hat{r}_j, \hat{r}_k\} + [\hat{r}_j, \hat{r}_k])] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} H_{jk} (\sigma_{jk} + i\Omega_{jk}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} H_{jk} \sigma_{kj} - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{jk} H_{jk} \Omega_{kj} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[H\sigma] - \frac{i}{2} \text{Tr}[H\Omega] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[H\sigma]
\end{aligned}$$

\uparrow
 H sym. &
 Ω anti.
 & trace invariant
 under transpose

$$\Rightarrow S(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \log \text{Det} \left(\frac{\sigma + i\Omega}{2} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}[H\sigma]$$

can write Ω in terms of σ via $H = 2 \text{arccoth}(i\Omega)$
 entirely $i\Omega$

~~The terms of~~

~~13a~~
13a

so that

$$S(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \log \text{Det} \left(\frac{\sigma + iN}{2} \right) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\text{arccoth}(iN\sigma) iN\sigma \right]$$