

Lecture 7 - Addendum

①

When ~~considering~~ ^{defining} a quadratic Hamiltonian, one could consider having it defined in terms of an arbitrary $2n \times 2n$ real matrix H . However, if there is any antisymmetric component of H , then the resulting "Hamiltonian" operator is not Hermitian.

- For this reason, it suffices to restrict our attention to real, symmetric $2n \times 2n$ Hamiltonian matrices when defining the Hamiltonian operator.

(2)

To see this, let H be an arbitrary $2n \times 2n$ real matrix. It can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{H+H^T}{2} + \frac{H-H^T}{2} \\ &\equiv \underbrace{H^s}_{\text{symmetric part}} + \underbrace{H^a}_{\text{antisymmetric part}} \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \hat{r}^T H \hat{r} &= \frac{1}{2} \hat{r}^T (H^s + H^a) \hat{r} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \hat{r}^T H^s \hat{r} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{r}^T H^a \hat{r} \end{aligned}$$

Focus on the 2nd term

$$\frac{1}{2} \hat{r}^T H^a \hat{r} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} \hat{r}_j H_{jk}^a \hat{r}_k$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j < k} \hat{r}_j^a H_{jk}^a \hat{r}_k + \hat{r}_k^a H_{kj}^a \hat{r}_j \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j < k} \hat{r}_j^a H_{jk}^a \hat{r}_k - \hat{r}_k^a H_{jk}^a \hat{r}_j \quad \text{(diagonal part of } H^a \text{ is equal to zero)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j < k} H_{jk}^a (\hat{r}_j^a \hat{r}_k - \hat{r}_k^a \hat{r}_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j < k} H_{jk}^a [\hat{r}_j, \hat{r}_k] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j < k} H_{jk}^a i \mathcal{L}_{jk} \\ &= i \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j < k} H_{jk}^a \mathcal{L}_{jk} \\ &\equiv i c \quad \text{where } c \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we find that if $H^a \neq 0$,
then

$$\frac{1}{2} \hat{r}^T H \hat{r} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{r}^T H^{sa} \hat{r} + i c$$

∴ it is thus not possible for $\frac{1}{2} \hat{r}^T H \hat{r}$ to be Hermitian (∴ a legitimate Hamiltonian)

(4)

In class, we proved that

if $S = e^{\Omega H}$ for H real, symmetric
 $2n \times 2n$,

then S is symplectic, i.e.,

$$S \Omega S^T = \Omega.$$

Here, we show that if

$H = \Omega^T \ln S$, for \ln the matrix
logarithm,
then H is symmetric.

Consider that

$$\begin{aligned} H^T &= (\Omega^T \ln S)^T \\ &= (\ln S^T) \Omega \\ &= \Omega \bullet \Omega^T (\ln S^T) \Omega \\ &= \Omega \ln(\Omega^T S^T \Omega) \end{aligned}$$

⑤

From $S \Omega S^T = \Omega$ it follows that

$$S \Omega S^T \underbrace{\Omega^T}_{S^{-1}} = \Omega \Omega^T = I$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega \ln(\Omega^T S^T \Omega) \\ &= \Omega \ln((- \Omega^T) S^T (- \Omega)) \\ &= \Omega \ln(\Omega S^T \Omega^T) \\ &= \Omega \ln S^{-1} \\ &= - \Omega \ln S \\ &= \Omega^T \ln S \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow H = H^T$ & H is real & symmetric.

Thus, from any symplectic matrix S , we can get its Hamiltonian matrix from