

Lecture 5

- We now work w/ a single mode bosonic system.
- What is meant by a single mode? Mathematically, a separable Hilbert space equipped w/ canonical operators.
- Physically, a bosonic quantum system. For light, ^{a mode} ~~it~~ is well defined in space, frequency, polarization, & time.

Let us begin w/ photon number states
 $\{|n\rangle\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$

Mathematically, these are the same as the Kronecker functions discussed previously.

(2)

Physically, they correspond to a bosonic system in a state of definite photon number.

- Any state can be represented in terms of photon-number basis.

Then define the annihilation & creation operators

in terms of the following action on photon-number basis:

annihilation:
$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}|n\rangle &= \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle \\ \tilde{a}|0\rangle &= 0 \end{aligned}$$
 for $n \geq 1$

From this, we deduce that its matrix elements are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle m | \tilde{a} | n \rangle &= \sqrt{n} \langle m | n-1 \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{n} \delta_{m, n-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\neq \langle m | \tilde{a} | 0 \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } n=0,$$

The annihilation operator is unbounded

$$\text{b/c } \sup_{\|\psi\| = \|\phi\| = 1} |\langle \psi | \tilde{a} \phi \rangle| = \infty$$

(3)

Choose $|\psi\rangle = |n-1\rangle$ &

$|\phi\rangle = |n\rangle$ to get

$$|\langle\psi|\tilde{a}\phi\rangle| = \sqrt{n} \quad \& \text{ then}$$

take $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$.

Adjoint of \tilde{a} is defined from

$$\langle m | (\tilde{a}^\dagger |n\rangle) = (\tilde{a} |m\rangle)^\dagger |n\rangle$$

$$= \sqrt{m} \langle m-1 | n \rangle$$

$$= \sqrt{m} \delta_{m-1, n}$$

By setting $m = n+1$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n+1 | (\tilde{a}^\dagger |n\rangle) &= \sqrt{n+1} \delta_{n, n} \\ &= \sqrt{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

& since $\langle m | (\tilde{a}^\dagger |n\rangle)$ for $m \neq n+1$,

we conclude that

$$\boxed{\tilde{a}^\dagger |n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1} |n+1\rangle}$$

\Rightarrow creation operator.

This is also unbounded, by a similar argument.

(4)

From these relations, we can

then deduce canonical
commutation relations: (CCR)

$$[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = \mathbb{I}$$

Consider the action on photon-
number

$$[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] |n\rangle \quad \text{basis}$$

$$= (\hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}) |n\rangle$$

$$= \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger |n\rangle - \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} |n\rangle$$

$$= \sqrt{n+1} \hat{a} |n+1\rangle - \sqrt{n} \hat{a}^\dagger |n-1\rangle$$

$$= \sqrt{n+1} \sqrt{n+1} |n\rangle - \sqrt{n} \sqrt{n} |n\rangle$$

$$= (n+1 - n) |n\rangle$$

$$= |n\rangle \quad \text{holds for all } n \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] |n\rangle = |n\rangle \quad \forall n \geq 0$$

Since this holds for an ^{o.n.} basis,

we conclude that $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = \mathbb{I}$

(5a)

Using the facts that

$$[\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}] = -[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = -I$$

$$+[\hat{a}, \hat{a}] = 0,$$

$$[\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 0,$$

we can capture commutation relations in a matrix as

$$\begin{bmatrix} [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] & [\hat{a}, \hat{a}] \\ [\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}^\dagger] & [\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}] \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] & [\hat{a}, \hat{a}] \\ [\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}^\dagger] & [\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \hat{I}.$$

Also, consider that $= \sigma_z \otimes \hat{I}$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} |n\rangle &= \sqrt{n} \hat{a}^\dagger |n-1\rangle \\ &= n |n\rangle \end{aligned}$$

so that photon-number states $|n\rangle$

are eigenstates of $\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} = \hat{n}$

w/ eigenvalue n , Write $\hat{n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n |n\rangle\langle n|$

(5)

Now define position & momentum quadrature operators as

$$\hat{x} = \frac{\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\text{or } \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x} \\ \hat{p} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1/i & -1/i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a} \\ \hat{a}^\dagger \end{bmatrix}$$

Hermitian \rightarrow

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}i}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -i & i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a} \\ \hat{a}^\dagger \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{a} = \frac{\hat{x} + i\hat{p}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\hat{a}^\dagger = \frac{\hat{x} - i\hat{p}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\text{or } \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a} \\ \hat{a}^\dagger \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x} \\ \hat{p} \end{bmatrix}$$

\hat{x} & \hat{p} are unbounded since \hat{a} & \hat{a}^\dagger are.

(6)

From commutation relations of

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{a} \\ \hat{a}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \text{ we can work out those}$$

for $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{x} \\ \hat{p} \end{pmatrix}$ as

$$\begin{bmatrix} [\hat{x}, \hat{x}] & [\hat{x}, \hat{p}] \\ [\hat{p}, \hat{x}] & [\hat{p}, \hat{p}] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{x}, \hat{x}) & (\hat{x}, \hat{p}) \\ (\hat{p}, \hat{x}) & (\hat{p}, \hat{p}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -i & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a} \\ \hat{a}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -i & i \end{pmatrix} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \hat{a} \\ \hat{a}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}) \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -i & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \hat{I} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \hat{I}$$

7

Eigenvectors of \hat{x} , \hat{p} , \hat{a} , \hat{a}^\dagger

It is impossible for \hat{x} to have normalized eigenvectors,

Suppose that $|4\rangle$ is an eigenvector of \hat{x} w/ eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e.,

$$\hat{x}|4\rangle = \lambda|4\rangle$$

Then we can define

$$\hat{x}' = \hat{x} - \lambda \mathbb{I}$$

An eigenvector of this w/ zero eigenvalue is $|4\rangle$ b/c

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{x}'|4\rangle &= \hat{x}|4\rangle - \lambda|4\rangle = \lambda|4\rangle - \lambda|4\rangle \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

8

Consider also that

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}', \hat{p}] &= \hat{x}' \hat{p} - \hat{p} \hat{x}' \\ &= (\hat{x} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) \hat{p} - \hat{p} (\hat{x} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) \\ &= \hat{x} \hat{p} - \hat{p} \hat{x} = i \mathbf{I} \end{aligned}$$

Now consider that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi | [\hat{x}', \hat{p}] | \psi \rangle &= \langle \psi | \hat{x}' \hat{p} - \hat{p} \hat{x}' | \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi | \lambda \hat{p} - \lambda \hat{p} | \psi \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

However $\langle \psi | i \mathbf{I} | \psi \rangle = i$

which contradicts equality

$$[\hat{x}', \hat{p}] = i \mathbf{I}$$

\Rightarrow \hat{x} cannot have a normalized eigenvector.

9

By same argument, \hat{p} cannot have a normalized eigenvector.

What about creation operator \hat{a}^+ ?

Suppose that there exists a normalizable $|\psi\rangle$ such that

$$(*) \quad \hat{a}^+ |\psi\rangle = \mu |\psi\rangle \quad \text{for } \mu \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We can write $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n |n\rangle$

for $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 = 1$$

Then it follows from (*) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \hat{a}^+ |n\rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \sqrt{n+1} |n+1\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \mu |n\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_0 \mu = 0, \quad c_0 = c_1 \mu, \quad c_1 \sqrt{2} = c_2 \mu, \quad \dots$$

10

If $\mu \neq 0$, then $c_0 = 0 \Rightarrow c_1 = 0,$
----- etc.

If $\mu = 0$, then $c_0 = 0$ from 2nd eq.,
 $c_1 = 0$ from 3rd, etc.

so \hat{a}^+ does not have eigenvectors?

What about \hat{a} ?

eigenstates are coherent states,
each of which is parametrized
by $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\hat{a}|\alpha\rangle = \alpha|\alpha\rangle \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{eigen value - vector} \\ \text{relation} \end{array} \right)$$

Proof:

Expand $|\alpha\rangle$ in terms of
number basis as

$$|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n |n\rangle \quad \text{for } c_n \in \mathbb{C}$$

(11)

Now apply \hat{a} to $|\alpha\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{a}|\alpha\rangle &= \hat{a} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n |n\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \hat{a} |n\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \sqrt{n} |n-1\rangle\end{aligned}$$

to be an eigenstate, we should have that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{a}|\alpha\rangle &= \alpha|\alpha\rangle \quad \text{or} \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \sqrt{n} |n-1\rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha c_n |n\rangle\end{aligned}$$

Equating coefficients term by term gives
the recursion relation

$$c_n \sqrt{n} = \alpha c_{n-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{or } c_n &= \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{n}} c_{n-1} = \frac{\alpha^2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} c_{n-2} \\ &= \dots = \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} c_0\end{aligned}$$

(12)

so that

$$|\alpha\rangle = c_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle$$

From normalization requirement, we find that

$$1 = \langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle = |c_0|^2 \sum_{n, n'=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{*n} \alpha^{n'}}{\sqrt{n! n'!}} \langle n | n' \rangle$$

$$= |c_0|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n!} = |c_0|^2 e^{|\alpha|^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_0 = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\alpha\rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle$$

Multiple modes

By tensoring together several separable Hilbert spaces, each corresponding to a bosonic mode, we get

(13)

multiple-mode bosonic Hilbert space

Each mode j is equipped w/
canonical operators

$$\hat{x}_j + \hat{p}_j \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

if $j \neq k$ then $[\hat{x}_j, \hat{p}_k] = 0$

bc these operators are acting on
different Hilbert spaces

or particles that are distinguishable
(by spatial, temporal, polarization,
or frequency degree of
freedom).

So then canonical commutation relations are
encoded by

$$[\hat{x}_j, \hat{p}_k] = i \delta_{jk} \hat{I}$$

(14)

helpful to introduce a vector
of quadrature operators

$$\hat{r} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{x}_1 \\ \hat{p}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{x}_n \\ \hat{p}_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the CCR are encoded in
the following matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{r}, \hat{r}^\dagger] &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} \hat{x}_1 \\ \hat{p}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{x}_n \\ \hat{p}_n \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \hat{x}_1 & \hat{p}_1 & \dots & \hat{x}_n & \hat{p}_n \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} [\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_1] & [\hat{x}_1, \hat{p}_1] & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ [\hat{p}_1, \hat{x}_1] & [\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_1] & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \\ &= i\Omega \quad \text{(could write as } i\Omega I \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \Omega_j$ w/ $\Omega_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
 $= I_n \otimes \Omega_j$

Ω is a special matrix, called symplectic form, which realizes

a ~~symplectic~~ inner product via

$$x^T \Omega y$$

Ω is antisymmetric:

$$\Omega^T = \cancel{\Omega} (I_n \otimes \Omega_j)^T$$

$$= I_n \otimes \Omega_j^T$$

$$= I_n \otimes (-\Omega_j)$$

$$= -(I_n \otimes \Omega_j)$$

$$= -\Omega$$

observe that $\Omega_j^2 = -I$

$\Rightarrow \Omega$ is an orthogonal matrix

$$\Omega^T \Omega = I_{2n}$$

16

Commutator matrix Ω is involutory:

$$(i\Omega)^2 = I$$

(each of these facts gets used a lot.)

One can also use a different order for vector of operators as

$$\hat{S} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{x}_n \\ \hat{p}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{p}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

In this case,

$$[\hat{S}, \hat{S}^\dagger] = iJ \quad \text{where}$$

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0_n & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \Omega_1 \otimes I_n$$

(17)

can also write vector of annihilation & creation operators as

$$\underline{\hat{a}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}_1 \\ \hat{a}_1^\dagger \\ \vdots \\ \hat{a}_n \\ \hat{a}_n^\dagger \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $\underline{\hat{a}} = U \hat{r}$

where unitary U is

$$U = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n u \quad \cancel{\text{---}}$$
$$= I_n \otimes u$$

$$w/ \quad u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $[\underline{\hat{a}}, \underline{\hat{a}}^\dagger] = [U \hat{r}, \hat{r}^\dagger U^\dagger]$
 $= U [\hat{r}, \hat{r}^\dagger] U^\dagger$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= U i (I_n \otimes \Lambda_1) U^\dagger \\
&= i (I_n \otimes u) (I_n \otimes \Lambda_1) (I_n \otimes u^\dagger) \\
&= i I_n \otimes u \Lambda_1 u^\dagger \\
&= \mathbf{i} I_n \otimes \sigma_z = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \sigma_z
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(u \Lambda_1 u^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -i & i \end{bmatrix} \right. \\
\left. = \begin{bmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = I_n \otimes \sigma_z}$$

One could even define another order

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{a}_n \\ \hat{a}_1^\dagger \\ \vdots \\ \hat{a}_n^\dagger \end{bmatrix},$$

but we won't write it.

Indeed, all four conventions are found in the literature.