Entanglement Boosts Quantum Turbo Codes

Mark M. Wilde

School of Computer Science
McGill University

Joint work with **Min-Hsiu Hsieh**

arXiv:1010.1256

International Symposium on Information Theory
Saint-Petersburg, Russia
Monday, August 1, 2011

Quantum Convolutional Codes

Quantum Convolutional Encoder



Example:



H. Ollivier and J.-P. Tillich, “Description of a quantum convolutional code,” PRL (2003)

State Diagram

Useful for analyzing the properties of a quantum convolutional code

How to construct? Add an edge from one memory state to another if a logical operator and ancilla operator connects them:

$$(M_{i-1} : L_i : S_i)U = (P_i : M_i)$$

State diagram for our example encoder

Tracks the flow of logical operators through the convolutional encoder



Catastrophicity



Catastrophic error propagation!

Catastrophicity (ctd.)

Check state diagram for cycles of zero physical weight with non-zero logical weight

(same as classical condition)



The culprit!

Viterbi. Convolutional codes and their performance in communication systems. *IEEE Trans. Comm. Tech.* (1971)

Recursiveness



A **recursive encoder** has an *infinite response* to a weight-one logical input

No-Go Theorem

Both **recursiveness** and **non-catastrophicity** are desirable properties for a quantum convolutional encoder when used in a quantum turbo code

But a quantum convolutional encoder cannot have both!
(Theorem 1 of PTO)

D. Poulin, J.-P. Tillich, and H. Ollivier, "Quantum serial turbo-codes," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 2776– 2798, June 2009.

Idea: Add Entanglement



Example:



M. M. Wilde and M.-H. Hsieh, “Entanglement boosts quantum turbo codes,” arXiv:1010.1256.

State Diagram

Add an edge from one memory state to another
if a logical operator and identity on ebit connects them:

$$(M_{i-1} : L_i : I)U = (P_i : M_i)$$

State diagram
for EA example encoder



Tracks the flow of logical operators
through the convolutional encoder

Ebit removes half the edges!

M. M. Wilde and M.-H. Hsieh, “Entanglement boosts quantum turbo codes,” arXiv:1010.1256.

Catastrophicity



Catastrophic error propagation **eliminated**!

(Bell measurements detect Z errors)

M. M. Wilde and M.-H. Hsieh, “Entanglement boosts quantum turbo codes,” arXiv:1010.1256.

Catastrophicity (ctd.)

Check state diagram for cycles of zero physical weight with non-zero logical weight



Culprit gone!

M. M. Wilde and M.-H. Hsieh, "Entanglement boosts quantum turbo codes," arXiv:1010.1256.

Recursiveness



A **recursive encoder** has an *infinite response* to a weight-one logical input

M. M. Wilde and M.-H. Hsieh, "Entanglement boosts quantum turbo codes," arXiv:1010.1256.

Non-Catastrophic and Recursive Encoder

Memory in	Logical	Ebit		Memory out	Physical	Physical
Z	I	I		Z	I	X
I	Z	I		X	Z	Y
I	I	Z	$\rightarrow$	X	Y	Z
X	I	I		X	X	X
I	X	I		Y	I	Y
I	I	X		Y	X	Y

State Diagram



Entanglement-assisted encoders can satisfy both properties simultaneously!

M. M. Wilde and M.-H. Hsieh, “Entanglement boosts quantum turbo codes,” arXiv:1010.1256.

Quantum Turbo Codes



A **quantum turbo code** consists of two interleaved and serially concatenated quantum convolutional encoders

Performance **appears to be good** from the results of numerical simulations

D. Poulin, J.-P. Tillich, and H. Ollivier, “Quantum serial turbo-codes,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 2776– 2798, June 2009.

Simulations

Selected an encoder **randomly**
with one information qubit, two ancillas, and three memory qubits

Non-catastrophic and **quasi-recursive**

Distance spectrum:

$$11x^5 + 47x^6 + 253x^7 + 1187x^8 + 6024x^9 + 30529x^{10} + 153051x^{11} + 771650x^{12}$$

Serial concatenation with itself gives
a rate 1/9 quantum turbo code

Replacing both ancillas with ebits gives EA encoder

Non-catastrophic and **recursive**

Distance spectrum improves dramatically:

$$2x^9 + x^{10} + 5x^{11} + 8x^{12}$$

Serial concatenation with itself gives
a rate 1/9 quantum turbo code
with 8/9 entanglement consumption rate

M. M. Wilde and M.-H. Hsieh, "Entanglement boosts quantum turbo codes," arXiv:1010.1256.

Compare with the Hashing Bounds



Bennett *et al*., "Entanglement-assisted classical capacity," (2002)
Devetak *et al*., "Resource Framework for Quantum Shannon Theory (2005)

Unassisted Turbo Code



M. M. Wilde and M.-H. Hsieh, "Entanglement boosts quantum turbo codes," arXiv:1010.1256.

Fully Assisted Turbo Code



M. M. Wilde and M.-H. Hsieh, "Entanglement boosts quantum turbo codes," arXiv:1010.1256.

“Inner” Entanglement Assisted Turbo Code



M. M. Wilde and M.-H. Hsieh, “Entanglement boosts quantum turbo codes,” arXiv:1010.1256.

Adding Noise to Bob's Share of the Ebits



Could be best compromise in practice
(performance does not decrease much even with ebit noise)

M. M. Wilde and M.-H. Hsieh, "Entanglement boosts quantum turbo codes," arXiv:1010.1256.

No-Go Theorem for Subsystem or Classically-Enhanced Codes



Encoder of the above form cannot be **recursive** and **non-catastrophic**

Proof: Consider recursive encoder.
Change gauge qubits and cbits to ancillas (preserves recursiveness)
Must be catastrophic (by PTO)
Change ancillas back to gauge qubits and cbits (preserves catastrophicity).

M. M. Wilde and M.-H. Hsieh, "Entanglement boosts quantum turbo codes," arXiv:1010.1256.

Conclusion

- Entanglement gives both a theoretical and practical boost to quantum turbo codes
- Recursiveness is essential to good performance of the assisted code (not mere quasi-recursiveness)
- No-Go Theorem for subsystem and classically-enhanced encoders

Open question: Find an EA turbo code with positive catalytic rate that outperforms a PTO encoder

Open question: Can turbo encoders with logical qubits, cbits, and ebits come close to achieving trade-off capacity rates?